





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/828,108	04/06/2001		Jon D. Kittelsen	13701-154	6804
25862	7590	12/27/2001			
,	•	EGAN & ARUI	EXAMINER		
333 SOUTH SUITE 2000			BROWN, MICHAEL A		
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN	55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3764	
				DATE MAILED: 12/27/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

10

	Application No. Applicant(s) 69/828/08 Jon Kittelsen eta					
Office Action Summary	Examiner Michael Br	Group Art Unit 3764				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	neath the correspondence address—				
Period for Reply	2	·				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE				
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply find the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuent adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ly within the statutory mini expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. b become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status Presponsive to communication(s) filed on	C 6,200,	<u>/</u>				
☐ This action is FINAL.		•				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except f accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 	or formal matters, pros C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	secution as to the merits is closed in				
Disposition of Claims Claim(s)		is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above claim(s)	<u> </u>	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
☑ Claim(s) — V	is/are rejected.					
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
□ Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election				
Application Papers		requirement				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.						
The drawing(s) filed on 4-6-0 is/are objected to by the Examiner						
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)						
□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).						
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:						
 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 						
□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received						
in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) *Certified copies not received:						
Attachment(s)						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	o) □ In	nterview Summary, PTO-413				
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	lotice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
	•••					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ 0	ther				
Office Action Summary						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 98, 110, 117, 118, 120 and 272. Correction is required.
- 2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(b) because they are incomplete. 37 CFR 1.83(b) reads as follows:

When the invention consists of an improvement on an old machine the drawing must when possible exhibit, in one or more views, the improved portion itself, disconnected from the old structure, and also in another view, so much only of the old structure as will suffice to show the connection of the invention therewith.

Correction is required.

Figures 10, 11, 11A and 12 are missing from the drawings.

3. A substitute specification excluding claims is required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.125(a) because there too many errors in the specification to be corrected by an amendment.

A substitute specification filed under 37 CFR 1.125(a) must only contain subject matter from the original specification and any previously entered amendment under 37 CFR 1.121. If the substitute specification contains additional subject matter not of record, the substitute specification must be filed under 37 CFR 1.125(b) and must be accompanied by: 1) a statement that the substitute specification contains no new matter; and 2) a marked-up copy showing the

Application/Control Number: 09/828,108 Page 3

Art Unit: 3764

amendments to be made via the substitute specification relative to the specification at the time the substitute specification is filed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is a lack of support in the specification for figures 10, 11, 11A and 12.

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35
 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 7. Claims 2-6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35
 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

.

Art Unit: 3764

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kittelsen '239, Kittelsen '601, Cross and Kidd, each discloses a mouthpiece.

Although each of these references discloses structural limitations recited in the claims, neither could be used to reject any claims, in the first office action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is (703) 308-2682.

M. Brown December 13, 2001

Michael A. Brown Primary Examiner

Michael G. Br

Page 4